Major events for: Hertfordshire (select LRF name on 'Action Plan' worksheet)

Please refer to guidance notes for information on the scope, type, and scale of events to include in this form.

Event name	Event start date (DD/MM/YYYY)	Event end date (DD/MM/YYYY)	Event postcode	Event details	Estimated number of people (thousands)
Halloween	31/10/2019	31/10/2019	County Wide	Extended police deployment and police and fire demand. Operating near cap	
Bonfire Night	05/11/2019	05/11/2019	County Wide	Extended police deployment and police and fire demand. Operating near cap	

Brexit planning for: Hertfordshire (select LRF name)

MHCLG are asking LRFs to work through the questions here to standardise the presentation of LRF planning outputs for a no deal Brexit (NDB). RED will not assure LRF plans but is seeking to allow Ministers and others to easily see and compare preparations in differing locations to identify gaps and highlight where additional support may be required.

In line with the first round of YH planning, we want to build a picture of what planning is being undertaken in each LRF area and to identify any gaps or key issues of concern.

The expectation is that this document will be agreed by the LRF Chair - it must reflect the agreed position of the LRF Executive.

Note - pink boxes indicate a drop-down selection menu. Please select one option from the list available in each.

1. Brexit Planning map

Please attach a map of the LRF and indicate on the map:
a) Local Authority boundaries within the LRF
b) Airports/border entry points (freight and/or passengers)
c) Major road networks/priority routes
d) Major infrastructure (hospitals, utilities, major rail terminals etc)
e) Other key local infrastructure points
f) Neighbouring LRFs in outline with any major ports/airports and road networks (i.e interdependencies)

Please provide details of why infrastructure etc has been highlighted.

Please indicate whether a map has been submitted with this form:	Yes	Please indicate whether the LRF agrees to share the information in this form with other LRFs and other government departments:	Yes

2. LRF Governance and Assurance

No.	Outputs	Select:	Response
	Brexit risk assessment		
2.1	Have you developed a local No Deal Brexit risk assessment? Please attach if so.	Yes	
2.2	If no local risk assessment was prepared, how have you considered the risk for your LRF?		
2.3	Have you considered the risks from your neighbouring LRFs - e.g. transport interdependencies? Please provide details.	Yes	Interdependance and knock-on effects of disruption to strategic road network (M25). Kent congestion will effect Essex (Purfleet), Essex congestion will cause congestion or consideration of stopping in Hertfordshire.
2.4	What are your top anticipated No Deal Brexit risks?		Transport network (A1M, M25) Economic Impact / Psychological Impact / Criminal Justice / Health Care / Finance / Fuel / Food and Water all sitting equal.
2.5	Please outline any concurrent or seasonal risks that you have considered in your localised planning assumptions.		See events list.
Please list the details fo any major events taking place between October 2019 and January 2020 on the 'Events form' worksheet (see tabs at the bottom of this worksheet).			
	Local planning assumptions		
2.6	Have you developed your local planning assumptions specific to a No Deal Brexit based on the national No Deal Planning Assumptions? Please provide a copy of your local planning assumptions	Yes	
	Brexit plans		
2.7	Does your LRF have an overarching No Deal Brexit plan? If so please attach it.	No	
2.8	If the LRF has not produced a local No Deal Brexit plan, please explain how the LRF has planned for the consequences of a No Deal Brexit in your area?		Management by individual organisations with coordination through an established SCG and existing LRF plans. An active TCG supporting identified activity.
2.9	If you are using your existing LRF plans to manage the consequences of No Deal Brexit, how have you assessed the suitability of these plans against the Brexit planning assumptions?		Reviewed through the planning process in line with local planning assumptions.
2.10	Have you developed Brexit-specific plans? If so, for what (e.g. traffic management plans)? Please list all.	Yes	A plan in relation to our primary risk, Transport, has been developed specifically around conjestion at Juntion 23 of the M25 and the services. Resourcing plans have been developed within organisations to manage local business in the event of supporting mutual aid to others.
2.11	Do your Brexit plans involve engagement with the voluntary and community sector? If so provide details.	No	
	Communication		

2.12	Do you have plans for engaging stakeholders (e.g. communities, businesses, elected officials - MPs, metro mayors, local councillors, police and crime commissioners)? Please provide details.	Yes	Chamber of Commerce engaged in SCG. Briefing notes issued to county and local Councillors. Comms / Media leads for individual agencies are engaged together.
2.13	Are the local authorities fully engaged and are 'borough/district' level plans joined up with LRF plans?	Yes	Engaged through SCG and TCG representation.
Command and control and escalation arrangements			
2.14	What are your command and control arrangements for No Deal Brexit?		Individual agencies have their own plans. Management through an extablished SCG and implementation of SCC if required.
2.15	Do you have clear criteria for escalation of response structures?	Yes	Management through an extablished SCG and implementation of SCC if required.
2.16	Have you made plans for sustaining a response over a prolonged period? Please provide details	Yes	Individual organisations have made plans to restructure resourcing to affect a prolonged response.
2.17	What plans are in place for mutual aid support in the LRF?		Through existing individual agency structures. Resourcing plans have been developed within organisations to manage local business in the event of supporting mutual aid to others.
LRF governance			
2.18	Please provide named contacts for the key agencies - and in particular an explanation of how the LRF works with local government, and the nominated Brexit Lead Officer.		Under the LRF a Strategic Coordination Group (SCG) specific to Brexit has been established, chaired by Hertfordshire Constabulary. See the attached list for details of members.
2.19	Is there a dedicated Brexit planning group within the LRF? Please give details.	Yes	TCG acting on themes identified by the SCG.
2.20	Who leads that group (name and organisation) and how does it report to the LRF Chair?		Hertfordshire Fire and Rescue chair the TCG reporting back to the SCG. The SCG Chair updates the LRF chair directly and through LRF Executive Group Meetings.
LRF assurance			
2.21	Have you assured your plan (e.g. internally / externally / peer review / exercise / use of Resilience Standard)? Please provide details.	Yes	Through a local table top exercise and South East conference.
2.22	Have you exercised your plan? Please provide details.	Yes	Through a local table top exercise and South East conference.

3. Brexit Planning gap analysis - Select preparedness rating from drop-down list

We would like to identify any gaps in capabilities based on the plans and procedures that may put in place to mitigate and manage the consequences that may arise as a result of impacts from one of the key work streams.

Please populate the table below indicating any concerns/omissions of plans for any of the measures and sectors highlighted. A table of confidence ratings is provided at the end of this document to assist in populating the table.

Local Planning Assumption (e.g. Traffic Management, Food, Borders, C3 Preparations) **- Please select or type**	**Plans and procedures**	**People and resources**	**Legislation and doctrine**	**Infrastructure and facilities**	**Equipment and supplies**	**Information (including national planning from LGDs)**	**Training and exercises**
Borders	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
Transport	Medium-low	Medium-low	Medium-low	Medium-low	Medium-low	Medium-low	Medium-low
Health	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High
Social Care	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High
Food	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High
Water	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High
Energy	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High
Fuel, including petrol and diesel	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High

Law & Order	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High
Community tensions / cohesion	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High
Environmental, including industrial waste	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High
Business	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High	Medium-High
Financial Services	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
{Please select or type}							
{Please select or type}							
{Please select or type}							
{Please select or type}							
{Please select or type}							
{Please select or type}							
{Please select or type}							

For each assessment made in Table 3 above that is or falls below 'Medium - Low' please provide details of your plans to address these gaps (including any plans to request national or regional support i.e mutual aid, MACA etc)? Please categorise your required support as: National Support, Regional Support, Mutual Aid or MACA.

No.	Local Planning Assumption	Gap	Support category (please select)	Details
Assessment 1	Borders			
Assessment 2	Transport	Plans and procedures		Local arrangements put in place with motorway services to contact Highways England to advise of near capacity and implement matrix sign notification.
		People and resources		Highways England aware. Police traffic resources to be tasked if required.
		Legislation and doctrine		N/A
		Infrastructure and facilities		Alternative site to reroute traffic from services are being sought.
		Equipment and supplies		N/A
		Information (including national planning from		Aware of similar risks in neighbouring LRF areas and the potential impact of our / their plans.
		Training and exercises		N/A
Assessment 3	Health			

Assessment 4	Social Care			
Assessment 5	Food			
Assessment 6	Water			
Assessment 7	Energy			
Assessment 8	Fuel, including petrol and diesel			
Assessment 9	Law & Order			
Assessment 10	Community tensions / cohesion			

Assessment 11	Environmental, including industrial waste			
Assessment 12	Business			
Assessment 13	Financial Services			
Assessment 14				
Assessment 15				
Assessment 16				

Assessment 17				
Assessment 18				
Assessment 19				
Assessment 20				

4. Overall confidence in preparedness

What is the LRF confidence level in residual risk after implementation of all available mitigations? Please use confidence ratings provided below and give a justification for your answer.

As agreed by LRF Chair and Executive:	Medium-High
Justification:	The local risk assessment and planning assumptions do not lead to a significant likelihood or scale of impact specifically in Hertfordshire, other than identifi

Guide to preparedness ratings	
High	We are confident our planning will be able to mitigate/manage disruption (e.g developed local risk assessment; reviewed plans and capabilities; undertaken training and exercising), we are **very confident** in our level of preparedness, and we are **nearing completion** of preparedness activity
Medium-High	We have made **good progress** with our planning to mitigate/manage disruption, we are **fairly confident** in our level of preparedness – there may still be a limited amount of preparedness activity to complete
Medium-low	We have made **some progress** with our planning to mitigate/manage disruption, we are **moderately confident** in our level of preparedness – there is still a moderate to sizeable amount of preparedness activity to complete
Low	We have made **little or no progress** with our planning to mitigate/manage disruption , we have low confidence in our level of preparedness and there is a significant amount of preparedness activity to complete
Not applicable	We do not believe there will be disruption to mitigate/manage